



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your mistake, however, is obviously due to the fact that the opinion is somewhat misleading. In its statement of the case, covering more than seven printed pages, it follows almost word for word the petition for the appeal, and in substance adopts the petition as a whole, making no reference to the fact that the appellant's claims are disputed, or to any position taken by Brown, except from his adversary's standpoint. It was inevitable, in such a discussion of the case, that Brown should be placed in a false light and his position misunderstood.

In justice to Brown we request as his counsel that you kindly publish this letter.

Yours very truly,

HARRISON & LONG.

IN VACATION.

Sharpening the Tools of the Law.—One of the chief characteristics of Lawyer Smith was his high-pitched, rasping voice. One day, when he was delivering an earnest argument, a visitor in the corridor asked, "What is that racket?" "Oh," replied a court-house official, "that is Lawyer Smith filing an answer."—Ex.

Unexplained.—A Swede was being examined in a case in a Minnesota town where the defendant was accused of breaking a plate-glass window with a large stone. He was pressed to tell how big the stone was, but he could not explain.

"Was it as big as my fist?" asked the judge, who had taken over the examination from the lawyers in the hope of getting some results.

"It ban bigger," the Swede replied.

"Was it as big as my two fists?"

"It ban bigger."

"Was it as big as my head?"

"It ban about as long, but not so thick," replied the Swede, amid the laughter of all but the judge.—St. Louis Star.

Still to the jury is unknown
The density of judge and stone.